arXiv:1212.6949v1 [math.PR] 31 Dec 2012

The Ginibre evolution in the large-N limit.

Roger Tribe and Oleg Zaboronski
Mathematics Institute,
University of Warwick,
Coventry CV4 7AL,
United Kingdom.
`r.p.tribe@warwick.ac.uk`,
`olegz@maths.warwick.ac.uk`

20.11.2012

Abstract

We analyse statistics of the real eigenvalues of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$-valued Brownian motion (the 'Ginibre evolution') in the limit of large N. In particular, we calculate the limiting two-dimensional correlation function of spin variables associated with real eigenvalues of Ginibre evolutions.

1 Introduction

Let $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$ be a linear space consisting of all $N \times N$ real matrices and equipped with the Euclidean inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(AB^T).$$

The paper is dedicated to the study of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$-Brownian motion $(M_t : t \geq 0)$, a Gaussian process with values in $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$ with zero mean and covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\langle A, M_t \rangle \langle B, M_s \rangle\right) = \frac{1}{2} \langle A, B \rangle \, (s \wedge t), \;\; A, B \in gl(N, \mathbf{R}).$$

In other words, $(M_t : t \geq 0)$ is the process of $N \times N$ matrices whose entries are independent one-dimensional Brownian motions. The one-dimensional density of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$ Brownian motion with respect to Lebesgue measure is Gaussian,

$$\gamma_t(A) = (\pi t)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{t}\langle A, A \rangle},$$

and, for $t = 1$, this defines the probability measure for real Ginibre matrix ensemble [15]. We will therefore refer to the process $(M_t, t \geq 0)$ as the real Ginibre evolution, or simply the Ginibre evolution. As was shown in [22], the one dimensional distribution of real eigenvalues for the Ginibre evolution converges as $N \to \infty$ to the one dimensional distribution of particles for annihilating Brownian motions on $\mathbf{R}$, under a suitable entrance law. In order to understand this unexpected connection deeper, one needs to study the Ginibre evolution beyond the one-dimensional distribution. As it turns out, such a study can be simplified if carried out in terms of spin variables associated with real eigenvalues which we will define as follows. For an $N \times N$ matrix A let Λ^A be a measure on $\mathbf{R}$:

$$\Lambda^A(a, b) = \text{Number of real eigenvalues of } A \text{ lying in } (a, b).$$

The spin variable associated with A is a function $s(A) : \mathbf{R} \to \{\pm 1\}$:

$$s_x(A) = (-1)^{\Lambda^A(-\infty, x)}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{1}$$

Note an analogy between the spin variables (1) and spins in a one-dimensional spin chain with real eigenvalues playing the role of domain walls. The following elementary remark provides a tool for computing correlation functions of spin variables: the spectrum of a real $N \times N$ matrix A consists of real eigenvalues and pairs of conjugated complex eigenvalues. Therefore, it is easy to verify, when no eigenvalue has real part x, that

$$s_x(A) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\det\left(A - xI\right)\right).$$

When computed with the help of Householder transformations, the correlation functions of spin variables reduce to the correlation functions of characteristic polynomials for the Ginibre evolution. The latter problem has been thoroughly investigated, at least in the context of real Ginibre matrix ensembles [1], [19]. All multi-time densities for real eigenvalues can be restored from the multi-time correlation functions of spin variables. Namely we have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \\ &\quad = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{m=1}^{n} s_{x_m}\left(M_{t_m}\right) s_{x_m + y_m}\left(M_{t_m}\right)\right)\Bigg|_{y_m = +0,\, m = 1, 2 \ldots, n} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\rho_{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is the n-dimensional probability density for finding a real eigenvalue in each of the disjoint intervals dx_k at time t_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots n$

(see [22] for details of (2) in a special case). From a purely technical point of view, it is also useful to consider modified densities defined as follows:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_n}(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)\prod_{k=1}^{n}dx_k=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n}s_{x_k}(M_{t_k})\Lambda^{M_{t_k}}(dx_k)\right). \tag{3}$$

Spin correlation functions can be restored from the modified densities by n-dimensional integration (see (64)).

In this paper we use the machinery described above to calculate exactly the two-dimensional correlation function of spin variables, namely $\mathbb{E}(s_x(M_t)s_y(M_{t+\tau}))$. This is done by a lengthy exact calculation and is an admittedly modest step towards the complete understanding of the Ginibre evolution. However we hope that some of the structure will be useful in calculating higher dimensional correlation functions, and we illustrate this by re-examining multispin correlations at a fixed time.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we state and discuss our main results: the large N limit of the two-dimensional correlation function and a new integral representation for one-dimensional multi-spin correlation functions of real eigenvalues. In section 3 we present the main steps of the computation of the two-dimensional correlation function for fixed N, based on the Householder transform and the technique of integrals over anti-commuting variables (Berezin integrals). In section 4 we examine the $N\to\infty$ limit. In section 5 we test the techniques developed in section 3 to re-derive the result of [2] for the large N limit of fixed time correlation functions $\rho_{1,\ldots,1}(x_1,\ldots,x_K)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{1,\ldots,1}(x_1,\ldots,x_K)$, that is the expressions (2) and (3) where all times $t_1,\ldots,t_K$ equal 1.

2 Discussion of results

Our first result is the de-correlation of spin variables in the real Ginibre evolution for a fixed positive time lag.

Theorem 1. *(Propagation of temporal chaos.) For* $x,y\in\mathbf{R}$ *and* $t,\tau>0$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(s_x(M_{t+\tau})s_y(M_t)\right)=0.$$

This shows the large-N and small time lag limits do not commute. It suggests that in the large-N limit, the system of real eigenvalues in the real Ginibre becomes memoryless, that is marginals at distinct times become independent. This result supports the belief that real Ginibre ensemble belongs to the class of systems with long range interaction. For a long-range first order system of N particles, the scale of temporal correlations is expected to be of the order of $1/N$, see [3] for a good heuristic discussion of the Hamiltonian case. To study these short-scale correlations we consider the scaling limit $\tau = \frac{T}{N}$ and $N \to \infty$. Therefore our second result concerns the behaviour of spin-spin correlation function in the real Ginibre evolution for time lags of order $1/N$.

Theorem 2. *(Decay of temporal correlations.) For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t, \tau > 0$.*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(s_x(M_{t+\frac{\tau}{N}})\, s_y(M_t)\right) = erfc\left(\sqrt{\frac{(x-y)^2}{t} + \frac{\tau}{2t}}\right),$$

where erfc is the complementary error function.

The large-N limit of the two-time spin-spin correlation function characterized by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is different from the two-time spin-spin correlation function for the system of one-dimensional annihilating Brownian motions obtained in [21]. Unfortunately, this means that the conjecture concerning the law of real eigenvalues in real Ginibre ensemble made in [22] is false.

Our final result concerns a novel integral representation for the modified K-particle density of real eigenvalues at a fixed time.

Theorem 3. *(Ginibre ensemble and anti-self dual Gaussian symplectic ensembles.) Fix $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_K \in \mathbf{R}$. Then*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \tilde{\rho}_{1,\ldots,1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K) = C_K \Delta(\mathbf{x}) \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{-\frac{1}{2}Tr\left(H-H^R\right)^2},$$

where C_K is a positive constant, $H = UXU^\dagger$ is a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K$, μ_H is Haar measure on the unitary group $U(K)$, $H^R = JH^TJ$ is a symplectic involution of matrix H, J is the canonical symplectic matrix, and $\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i>j}(x_i - x_j)$ is the Van-der-Monde determinant.

In the present paper we only use Theorem 3 to show how the formalism of spin variables can be employed to re-derive the Pfaffian expressions for the correlation functions of real eigenvalues in the real Ginibre ensemble. These were originally obtained in [2]. However we suspect that there is a generalization of the Theorem 3 to the case of multi-time correlation functions which should be useful for the study of multi-time statistics of the Ginibre evolution.

3 Calculation of the two-dimensional spin-spin correlation function.

The calculation detailed below draws on the ideas of Edelman et. al. [6] and Sommers et. al. [20] to compute the two-dimensional spin-spin correlation function while avoiding the mathematically difficult transition to the eigenvalue representation. Edelman used Householder transform to compute the density of the eigenvalues in real Ginibre ensemble while Sommers employed Berezin integrals to simplify his derivation of the kernel of the Pfaffian point process associated with the real Ginibre ensemble. The key fortuitous link which makes our calculation possible is that $s_x(A) = \mathrm{sgn}(\det(A - xI))$ (see eq. (1)) which combines nicely with the Jacobian $|\det(A - xI)|$ of the Edelman transformation (see below) to produce the characteristic polynomial $\det(A - xI)$. The latter is easier to average over the Ginibre evolution.

Instead of computing the spin-spin correlation function directly, we compute the time-space point modified density function $\tilde{\rho}_N$ first:

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(t, y; t+\tau, x)dxdy = \mathbb{E}\left[s_y(M_t)\Lambda^{M_t}(dy)s_x(M_{t+\tau})\Lambda^{M_{t+\tau}}(dx)\right]. \quad (4)$$

The notation stands for densities where we make sure here to take the limiting infinitesimal intervals dx and dy to lie just to the right of the points x and y respectively. Note that the function $x \to \mathbb{E}[s_a(M_t)\Lambda^{M_t}(db)]$ has a discontinuity as a crosses b, indeed the density flips sign. Given $\tilde{\rho}_N$, the spin-spin correlation function can be restored by integration:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(s_x(M_{t+\tau})s_y(M_t)\right) = 4\int_{-\infty}^{x} dx' \int_{-\infty}^{y} dy' \, \tilde{\rho}_N(t, y'; t+\tau, x').$$

It follows from the definition (4) of the modified density that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\rho}_N(t, y; t+\tau, x)dxdy \\ &= \int_{R^{N^2}} dM \int_{R^{N^2}} dH s_y(H)\Lambda^H(dy)s_x(dM)\Lambda^M(dx)\,\gamma_t(H)\,\gamma_\tau(M-H). \quad (5)\end{aligned}$$

The plan of attack is, via changes of variable that exploit symmetry of the integrand, to reduce the dimension of this integral. Indeed by the end of this section the integral over $\mathbf{R}^{2N^2}$ is reduced to a one dimensional integral over $[0, 1]$.

The representation of dM integral in Edelman coordinates. The transformation used by Edelman et. al. in [6] is for an $N \times N$ matrix M with a real eigenvalue x and corresponding eigenvector $v \in S^+_{N-1}$, the upper half of the $N-1$ dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^N$:

$$M = P_v M^e P_v$$

where P_v is the Householder transformation [11] that reflects in the hyperplane at right angles to the vector $v - e_N$ (where e_N is the unit vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$), and M^e is in Edelman block form

$$M^e = \begin{pmatrix} M_0^e & 0 \\ w^T & x \end{pmatrix}$$

with M_0^e an $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ real matrix, $w \in R^{N-1}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Later we need the explicit form

$$P_v = I - \frac{(v - e_N)(v - e_N)^T}{1 - v_N} \tag{6}$$

where v_N is the Nth co-ordinate of v. Note that P_v is orthogonal and symmetric, $P_v^{-1} = P_v^T = P_v$. Let

$$F(M)dy = \int_{R^{N^2}} dH s_y(H) \Lambda^H(dy) \gamma_t(H) \gamma_\tau(M - H).$$

Fix $t, \tau > 0$ and x, y throughout and write $\tilde{\rho}_N$ as shorthand for $\tilde{\rho}_N(t, y; t + \tau, x)$. Using the known expression for the Jacobian of the Edelman transformation [6], we rewrite the expression (5) for $\tilde{\rho}_N$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N &= \int_{S_{N-1}^+} dv \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dM_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw |\det(M_0^e - xI)| s_x(M_0^e) F(P_v M^e P_v) \\ &= \int_{S_{N-1}^+} dv \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dM_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw \det(M_0^e - xI) F(P_v M^e P_v) \end{aligned}$$

where dv stands for surface measure on the sphere. We have also used $s_x(P_v M^e P_v) = s_x(M_e)$ since $s_x(A)$ depends only on the eigenvalues of A, and $s_x(M^e) = s_x(M_0^e)$ since we took limiting densities where dx just to the right of x. Note the disappearance of the absolute value sign in the last expression leaving us the more tractable determinant.

Evaluation of the dv integral. We claim that $F(O^T M O) = F(M)$ for an orthogonal matrix O. To see the latter note that the Gaussian density has this invariance and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(O^T M O)dy &= \int_{R^{N^2}} dH s_y(H) \Lambda^H(dy) \gamma_t(H) \gamma_\tau(O^T M O - H) \\ &= \int_{R^{N^2}} dH s_y(H) \Lambda^H(dy) \gamma_t(H) \gamma_\tau(M - O H O^T) \\ &= \int_{R^{N^2}} dH s_y(O^T H O) \Lambda^{O^T H O}(dy) \gamma_t(H) \gamma_\tau(M - H) \\ &= F(M)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $s_y(H)$ and $\Lambda^H(dy)$ depend only on the eigenvalues of H and are therefore invariant with respect to the transformation $H \to O^T H O$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_N &= \int_{S^+_{N-1}} dv \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dM_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw \det(M_0^e - xI) F(M^e) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}| \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dM_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw \det(M_0^e - xI) F(M^e) \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

since the integrand is independent of v. Here $|S_{N-1}|$ stands for the surface area of the unit $(N-1)$ dimensional sphere living in $\mathbf{R}^N$.

Evaluation of the dw integral. To integrate over w we must express $F(M^e)$ in terms of M_0^e and w. Let us represent H in block form: for $z \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$, write

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_0 & \beta \\ \alpha^T & z \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (8)$$

Expanding the Gaussian densities we find that $F(M^e)dy$ is given by

$$(\pi^2 t\tau)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} \int_{R^{N^2}} dH s_y(H) \Lambda^H(dy)\, e^{-\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\mathrm{tr}(HH^T)}\, e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M^e M^{eT})}\, e^{\frac{2}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M^e H^T)}. \qquad (9)$$

The traces expand in block form to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}(M^e M^{eT}) &= \mathrm{tr}(M_0^e M_0^{eT}) + |w|^2 + x^2, \\ \mathrm{tr}(M^e H^T) &= \mathrm{tr}(M_0^e H_0^T) + w^T\alpha + xz. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (9) and (10) into (7) we find the following representation for $\tilde{\rho}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_N dy &= \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}|(\pi^2 t\tau)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\tau}} \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dM_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw \int_{R^{N^2}} dH \\ &\quad s_y(H)\Lambda^H(dy) \det(M_0^e - xI)\, e^{-\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\mathrm{tr}(HH^T)} \\ &\quad e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}(|w|^2 - 2w^T\alpha - 2xz)}\, e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M_0^e M_0^{eT})}\, e^{\frac{2}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M_0^e H_0^T)}. \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

The dw integral is then computed using a standard formula for Gaussian integrals:

$$\int_{R^{N-1}} dw\, e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}(|w|^2 - 2w^T\alpha)} = (\pi\tau)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\tau}|\alpha|^2}. \qquad (12)$$

Evaluation of the dM_0^e integral. To compute the dM_0^e integral we first re-express the determinant as a Berezin integral over anti-commuting (Grassmann) variables:

$$\det(M_0^e - xI) = \int_{R^{0|2(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi}\, e^{\overline{\phi}^T(M_0^e - xI)\phi}.$$

This leaves the following dM_0^e integral in (11)

$$I_1 := \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dM_0^e\, e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M_0^e M_0^{eT})}\, e^{\frac{2}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M_0^e H_0^T))}\, e^{\overline{\phi}^T M_0^e \phi}. \qquad (13)$$

This is a Gaussian integral with identity covariance matrix but with the linear term of the exponent depending on anti-commuting variables. Nevertheless the rules for this integral are as if it were a standard Gaussian (see [12] for details), and the value can be found by locating the critical value of the quadratic form in the variables $M_0^e(i,j)$. The integrand in (13) is the exponential of

$$-\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M_0^e M_0^{eT}) + \frac{2}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(M_0^e \Gamma^T) \tag{14}$$

where $\Gamma = H_0 + \frac{\tau}{2}\overline{\phi}\phi^T$. The critical point of this quadratic is $M_0^e = \Gamma$. Substituting this back into (14) one obtains, noting that $(\overline{\phi}\phi^T)^T = -\phi\overline{\phi}^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} +\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(\Gamma\Gamma^T) &= +\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}((H_0 + \frac{\tau}{2}\overline{\phi}\phi^T)(H_0^T - \frac{\tau}{2}\phi\overline{\phi}^T)) \\ &= +\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(H_0 H_0^T) + \mathrm{tr}(H_0\overline{\phi}\phi^T) \end{aligned}$$

This gives the value

$$I_1 = (\pi\tau)^{\frac{(N-1)^2}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(H_0 H_0^T) + \overline{\phi}^T H_0 \phi}. \tag{15}$$

Substituting in the dw and dM_0^e integrals (12) and (15) into (11) we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N dy &= \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}|(\pi t)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}}(\pi\tau)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\tau}} \int_{R^{N^2}} dH \int_{R^{0|2(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} \\ & \qquad s_y(H)\Lambda^H(dy)\; e^{-(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{\tau})\mathrm{tr}(HH^T)}\; e^{\frac{1}{\tau}(2xz+|\alpha|^2)}\; e^{\frac{1}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(H_0 H_0^T) + \overline{\phi}^T (H_0 - xI)\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

The integral at hand can be simplified by an orthogonal transformation on the H variables. To simplify the implementation of the transformation, we will re-write the above expression in terms of matrix H rather than its sub-matrix H_0. To this end, we use an extended set of N-dimensional Grassmann variables $\overline{\psi}, \psi$ that agree with $\overline{\phi}, \phi$ in the first $(N-1)$ co-ordinates,

$$\psi = \{\phi, \psi_N\},\ \overline{\psi} = \{\overline{\phi}, \overline{\psi}_N\}.$$

Then

$$\overline{\psi}^T (H - xI)\psi = \overline{\phi}^T (H_0 - xI)\phi + (\overline{\phi}^T \beta)\psi_N + \overline{\psi}_N(\alpha^T \phi) + (z - x)\overline{\psi}_N \psi_N,$$

and we can re-write

$$\int_{R^{0|2(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi}\; e^{\overline{\phi}^T (H_0 - xI)\phi} = \int_{R^{0|2N}} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\; \overline{\psi}_N \psi_N\; e^{\overline{\psi}^T (H - xI)\psi}$$

since the integral over the pair $d\psi_N d\overline{\psi}_N$ can be done first on the right hand side and the term $\overline{\psi}_N \psi_N$ forces it to take value one. Using also $\mathrm{tr}(HH^T) = \mathrm{tr}(H_0 H_0^T) + |\beta|^2 + |\alpha|^2 + z^2$ this leaves

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N dy &= \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}|(\pi t)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}}(\pi\tau)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\tau}} \int_{R^{N^2}} dH\, s_y(H)\Lambda^H(dy) \\ & \qquad \int_{R^{0|2N}} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\; \overline{\psi}_N \psi_N\; e^{\overline{\psi}^T (H - xI)\psi}\; e^{-\frac{1}{t}\mathrm{tr}(HH^T)}\; e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}(|\beta|^2 + z^2 - 2xz)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Representation of the dH integral in Edelman variables. A second Edelman change of variable is $H = P_v H^e P_v$ where

$$H^e = \begin{pmatrix} H_0^e & 0 \\ w^T & y \end{pmatrix}$$

We need to reconcile this with our earlier representation (8) of H in block form. A lengthy but straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (\hat{v}^T H_0^e \hat{v}) + v_N (w^T \hat{v}) + y v_N^2, \\ \beta &= H_0^e \hat{v} - (\hat{v}^T H_0^e \hat{v})(1 - v_N)^{-1} \hat{v} + (w^T \hat{v}) \hat{v} + y v_N \hat{v}, \\ |\beta|^2 + z^2 &= (v_N y + w^T \hat{v})^2 + \hat{v}^T H_0^{eT} H_0^e \hat{v}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Next

$$\overline{\psi}^T (H - xI) \psi = (P_v \overline{\psi})^T (H^e - xI) P_v \psi,$$

which suggests a change of Grassmann variables $\phi = P_v \psi$, $\overline{\phi} = P_v \overline{\psi}$. Under this change we find with the help of (6) that $\psi_N = v^T \phi$ and $\overline{\psi}_N = v^T \overline{\phi}$. Therefore, Berezin integral in the right hand side of (16) transforms as follows:

$$I_2 := \int_{R^{0|2N}} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\, \overline{\psi}_N \psi_N \, e^{\overline{\psi}^T (H - xI) \psi} = \int_{R^{0|2N}} d\phi d\overline{\phi}\, (v^T \overline{\phi})(v^T \phi)\, e^{\overline{\phi}^T (H^e - xI) \phi}. \tag{18}$$

Using this and the Edelman substitution in (16) we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N \;=\; & \frac{1}{2} |S_{N-1}| (\pi t)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} (\pi \tau)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\tau}} \int_{S_{N-1}^+} dv \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dH_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw \\ & \int_{R^{0|2N}} d\phi d\overline{\phi}\, (v^T \overline{\phi})(v^T \phi)\, e^{\overline{\phi}^T (H^e - xI) \phi} \det(H_0^e - yI) \\ & \quad e^{-\frac{1}{t} \mathrm{tr}(H^e H^{eT})}\; e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}((v_N y + w^T \hat{v})^2 + \hat{v}^T H_0^{eT} H_0^e \hat{v})}\; e^{\frac{2x}{\tau}(\hat{v}^T H_0^e \hat{v} + v_N (w^T \hat{v}) + y v_N^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

To prepare for the integration over w and H_0^e we found it convenient to integrate out some Grassmann variables. First we let $\hat{\phi}, \overline{\hat{\phi}}$ be the restriction of $\phi, \overline{\phi}$ to the first $(N-1)$ co-ordinates so that

$$\overline{\phi}^T (H^e - xI) \phi = \overline{\hat{\phi}}^T (H_0^e - xI) \hat{\phi} + \overline{\phi}_N (w^T \hat{\phi}) + (y - x) \overline{\phi}_N \phi_N.$$

We will integrate out the final pair of variables $\phi_N, \overline{\phi}_N$. Extracting the terms depending on $\phi_N, \overline{\phi}_N$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R^{0|2}} d\phi_N d\overline{\phi}_N (\hat{v}^T \overline{\hat{\phi}} + v_N \overline{\phi}_N)(\hat{v}^T \hat{\phi} + v_N \phi_N) e^{\overline{\phi}_N (w^T \hat{\phi}) + (y - x) \overline{\phi}_N \phi_N} \\ = \;& \int_{R^{0|2}} d\phi_N d\overline{\phi}_N (\hat{v}^T \overline{\hat{\phi}} + v_N \overline{\phi}_N)(\hat{v}^T \hat{\phi} + v_N \phi_N)(1 + \overline{\phi}_N (w^T \hat{\phi}))(1 + (y - x) \overline{\phi}_N \phi_N) \\ = \;& v_N^2 + (y - x)(\hat{v}^T \overline{\hat{\phi}})(\hat{v}^T \hat{\phi}) + v_N (w^T \hat{\phi})(\hat{v}^T \overline{\hat{\phi}}). \end{aligned}$$

This leaves us just with the integrand depending on shortened anti-commuting variables $\hat{\phi}, \overline{\hat{\phi}}$ and so we can drop the hats in the notation for integration variables replacing them with $\phi, \overline{\phi} \in \mathbf{R}^{0|(N-1)}$. Thus we have shown that the Berezin integral (18) has become

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{R^{0|2(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} \left(v_N^2 + (y-x)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi) + v_N(w^T\phi)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi}) \right) e^{\overline{\phi}^T(H_0^e - xI)\phi} \\ &= \int_{R^{0|2(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} \left(v_N^2 + (y-x)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi) \right) e^{\frac{1}{v_N}(w^T\phi)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})} \; e^{\overline{\phi}^T(H_0^e - xI)\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, representing $\det(H_0^e - yI)$ as a Gaussian integral over a second set of Grassmann variables $\overline{\psi}, \psi$ and expanding

$$\mathrm{tr}(H^e H^{eT}) = \mathrm{tr}(H_0^E H_0^{eT}) + |w|^2 + y^2$$

we reach the following expression for $\tilde{\rho}_N$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N &= \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}|(\pi t)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}}(\pi\tau)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\tau}} \int_{S_{N-1}^+} dv \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dH_0^e \int_{R^{N-1}} dw \\ &\quad \int_{R^{0|4(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi} \, e^{\overline{\phi}^T(H_0^e - xI)\phi + \overline{\psi}^T(H_0^e - yI)\psi} \\ &\quad \left(v_N^2 + (y-x)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi) \right) e^{\frac{1}{v_N}(w^T\phi)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})} \; e^{-\frac{1}{t}(\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e H_0^{eT}) + |w|^2 + y^2)} \\ &\quad e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}((v_N y + w^T\hat{v})^2 + \hat{v}^T H_0^{eT} H_0^e \hat{v})} \; e^{\frac{2x}{\tau}(\hat{v}^T H_0^e \hat{v} + v_N(w^T\hat{v}) + y v_N^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Evaluation of the second dw integral. Collecting all the terms in the integrand of (19) depending w one reaches the second dw integral, which happens to be Gaussian:

$$I_3 = \int_{R^{N-1}} dw e^{-\frac{1}{t}|w|^2} \; e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}(2(y-x)v_N(w^T\hat{v}) + (w^T\hat{v})^2)} \; e^{\frac{1}{v_N}(w^T\phi)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})}. \tag{20}$$

The exponential has a quadratic term $t^{-1}w^T M w$ with covariance matrix

$$M = I + \frac{t}{\tau}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T. \tag{21}$$

Note that

$$M^{-1} = I - \frac{t}{\tau + t|\hat{v}|^2}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T = I - \frac{t}{\tau D}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T \quad \text{where } D := \det(M) = 1 + \tfrac{t}{\tau}|\hat{v}|^2. \tag{22}$$

The integrand in (20) is the exponential of

$$-\frac{1}{t}w^T M w + \frac{2}{t}w^T\gamma \tag{23}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{t}{\tau}(x-y)v_N\hat{v} - \frac{t}{2v_N}(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})\phi$. The critical value of w in then $M^{-1}\gamma$ and substituting into (23) one obtains $t^{-1}\gamma^T M^{-1}\gamma$ which is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{t}(\frac{t}{\tau}(x-y)v_N\hat{v}^T - \frac{t}{2v_N}(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})\phi^T)M^{-1}(\frac{t}{\tau}(x-y)v_N\hat{v} - \frac{t}{2v_N}(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})\phi) \\
= \ &\frac{t}{\tau^2}(x-y)^2 v_N^2 \hat{v}^T M^{-1}\hat{v} - \frac{t}{\tau}(x-y)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})\hat{v}^T M^{-1}\phi.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the form (22) for M^{-1} one finds that $\hat{v}^T M^{-1} = D^{-1}\hat{v}^T$ and this leads to the answer

$$I_3 = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\pi t)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau D}(x-y)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi)}\ e^{\frac{t}{\tau^2 D}(x-y)^2 v_N^2|\hat{v}|^2}. \tag{24}$$

Evaluation of the dH_0^e integral. Using expression (21) for matrix M we can verify the formula

$$\frac{1}{t}\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e H_0^{eT}) + \frac{1}{\tau}\hat{v}^T H_0^{eT} H_0^e \hat{v} = \frac{1}{t}\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e M H_0^{eT}).$$

Combining all the H_0^e-dependent terms in the integrand of (19) and using the above identity we reach the integral

$$I_4 = \int_{R^{(N-1)^2}} dH_0^e\, e^{\overline{\phi}^T H_0^e \phi + \overline{\psi}^T H_0^e \psi}\ e^{-\frac{1}{t}\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e M H_0^{eT})}\ e^{\frac{2x}{\tau}\hat{v}^T H_0^e \hat{v}}. \tag{25}$$

The quadratic part of the exponential $\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e M H_0^{eT})$ can be considered as a quadratic form in the variables $(H_0^e(i,j) : i,j = 1,\ldots,N-1)$. The corresponding covariance matrix has determinant D^{N-1}. The easiest way to see this is to take $v = (1,0,\ldots,0)$ and examine the effect of the transformation $H_0^e(i,j) \to (H_0^e M)(i,j)$ for each i,j. The integrand in (25) is the exponential of

$$-\frac{1}{t}\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e M H_0^{eT}) + \frac{2}{t}\mathrm{tr}(H_0^e \Gamma^T) \tag{26}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \frac{t}{2}\overline{\phi}\phi^T + \frac{t}{2}\overline{\psi}\psi^T + \frac{tx}{\tau}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T.$$

The critical value of H_0^e is $H_0^e = \Gamma M^{-1}$. Substituting into (26) one obtains $t^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(\Gamma M^{-1}\Gamma^T)$ which is

$$\frac{tx^2}{\tau^2}\mathrm{tr}(\hat{v}\hat{v}^T M^{-1}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T) - \frac{t}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\phi}\phi^T M^{-1}\psi\overline{\psi}^T) + \frac{tx}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\phi}\phi^T M^{-1}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T) + \frac{tx}{\tau}\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\psi}\psi^T M^{-1}\hat{v}\hat{v}^T)$$

and evaluates, using the form (22) for M^{-1}, to

$$\frac{tx^2}{\tau^2 D}|\hat{v}|^4 - \frac{t}{2}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\phi^T\psi) + \frac{t^2}{2\tau D}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\phi^T\hat{v})(\psi^T\hat{v}) + \frac{tx}{\tau D}(\overline{\phi}^T\hat{v})(\phi^T\hat{v}) + \frac{tx}{\tau D}(\overline{\psi}^T\hat{v})(\psi^T\hat{v}).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= D^{-(N-1)/2}(\pi t)^{(N-1)^2/2} e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\phi^T\psi)(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})}\ e^{\frac{t^2}{2\tau D}(\hat{v}^T\phi)(\hat{v}^T\psi)(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})} \\ &\qquad e^{\frac{tx}{\tau D}((\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi)+(\hat{v}^T\overline{\psi})(\hat{v}^T\psi))}\ e^{\frac{tx^2}{\tau^2 D}|\hat{v}|^4}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Substituting (24) and (27) into (19) we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N &= \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}|(\pi^2 t\tau)^{-\frac{N}{2}}\,dx\,dy \int_{S^+_{N-1}} dv D^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau D}(x^2-2v_N^2 xy+y^2(1+\tau t^{-1}))} \\ &\quad \int_{R^{0|4(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\left(v_N^2+(y-x)(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi)\right) e^{-x\overline{\phi}^T\phi-y\overline{\psi}^T\psi} \\ &\qquad e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\phi^T\psi)}\ e^{\frac{t^2}{2\tau D}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\hat{v}^T\phi)(\hat{v}^T\psi)}\ e^{\frac{t}{\tau D}(x(\hat{v}^T\overline{\psi})(\hat{v}^T\psi)+y(\hat{v}^T\overline{\phi})(\hat{v}^T\phi))}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Integration over Grassmann variables. Let $R_v : \mathbf{R}^{N-1} \to \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ be an orthogonal transformation that sends $\hat{v}$ to $(|\hat{v}|, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We also change variables $\phi, \psi, \overline{\phi}, \overline{\psi}$ to $R_v\phi, R_v\psi, R_v\overline{\phi}, R_v\overline{\psi}$. Under this change $\hat{v}^T\phi$ becomes $|\hat{v}|\phi_1$ e.t.c. In terms of new variables the integral in (28) is

$$\tilde{\rho}_N = \frac{1}{2}|S_{N-1}|(\pi^2 t\tau)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{S^+_{N-1}} dv D^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau D}(x^2-2v_N^2 xy+y^2(1+\tau t^{-1}))}\, I_5, \tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \int_{R^{0|4(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\ \left(v_N^2+(y-x)|\hat{v}|^2\overline{\phi}_1\phi_1\right) \\ &\qquad e^{-x\overline{\phi}^T\phi-y\overline{\psi}^T\psi}\ e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\phi^T\psi)}\ e^{\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})\phi_1\psi_1}\ e^{\frac{t|\hat{v}|^2}{\tau D}(x\overline{\psi}_1\psi_1+y\overline{\phi}_1\phi_1)} \\ &= v_N^2 \int_{R^{0|4(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\ e^{\frac{(y-x)|\hat{v}|^2}{v_N^2}\overline{\phi}_1\phi_1}\ e^{-x\overline{\phi}^T\phi-y\overline{\psi}^T\psi} \\ &\qquad e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\phi^T\psi)}\ e^{\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})\phi_1\psi_1}\ e^{\frac{t|\hat{v}|^2}{\tau D}(x\overline{\psi}_1\psi_1+y\overline{\phi}_1\phi_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice the appearance of fourth-order terms $(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})(\phi^T\psi)$ and $(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})\phi_1\psi_1$ in the above integral. To deal with these we follow [20] and apply Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation to to convert the Berezin integral I to the integral over both commuting and anti-commuting variables but which is Gaussian with respect to the Grassmann variables, by using the identity

$$e^{ab} = \int_{R^2} \frac{dzd\overline{z}}{\pi}\ e^{-z\overline{z}} e^{az} e^{b\overline{z}}. \tag{30}$$

In this identity, we have $z = x + iy$, $\overline{z} = x - iy$, and $dzd\overline{z}$ is shorthand for a Lebesgue integral over $\mathbf{R}^2$ of this complex integrand. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= v_N^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{dzd\overline{z}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{dwd\overline{w}}{\pi} e^{-z\overline{z}-w\overline{w}} \int_{R^{0|4(N-1)}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi} \\ &\qquad e^{(\frac{t}{2})^\alpha z(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})-(\frac{t}{2})^\beta \overline{z}(\phi^T\psi)}\ e^{(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D})^\gamma w(\overline{\phi}^T\overline{\psi})+(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D})^\delta \overline{w}\phi_1\psi_1} \\ &\qquad e^{-x\overline{\phi}^T\phi-y\overline{\psi}^T\psi}\ e^{\frac{t|\hat{v}|^2}{\tau D}(x\overline{\psi}_1\psi_1+y\overline{\phi}_1\phi_1)}\ e^{\frac{(y-x)|\hat{v}|^2}{v_N^2}\overline{\phi}_1\phi_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha+\beta=1,\ \gamma+\delta=1$. The final answer should not depend on $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$, which provides us with a consistency check for the calculations below. The internal Berezin integral breaks into the product of $N-1$ independent 4-dimensional integrals, of which $(N-2)$ integrals are identical:

$$I_5 = v_N^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{dz d\overline{z}}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{dw d\overline{w}}{\pi} e^{-z\overline{z}-w\overline{w}}\ (I_6)^{N-2} I_7$$

where

$$I_6 = \int_{R^{0|4}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\ e^{(\frac{t}{2})^{\alpha} z\overline{\phi}\,\overline{\psi} - \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\beta} \overline{z}\phi\psi}\ e^{(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D})^{\gamma} w\overline{\phi}\,\overline{\psi}}\ e^{-x\overline{\phi}\phi - y\overline{\psi}\psi}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 \ &= \ \int_{R^{0|4}} d\phi d\overline{\phi} d\psi d\overline{\psi}\ e^{(\frac{t}{2})^{\alpha} z\overline{\phi}\,\overline{\psi} - (\frac{t}{2})^{\beta} \overline{z}\phi\psi}\ e^{(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D})^{\gamma} w\overline{\phi}\,\overline{\psi} + (\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D})^{\delta} \overline{w}\phi\psi} \\ &\qquad e^{-x\overline{\phi}\,\phi - y\overline{\psi}\,\psi}\ e^{\frac{t|\hat{v}|^2}{\tau D}(x\overline{\psi}\psi + y\overline{\phi}\phi)}\ e^{\frac{(y-x)|\hat{v}|^2}{v_N^2}\overline{\phi}\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Each Berezin integral is exactly in Pfaffian form (that is $\mathrm{Pf}(A) = \int d\xi \exp(-\xi^T A\xi/2)$). Hence I_6 has value

$$\mathrm{Pf}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & -\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\beta}\overline{z} & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\alpha} z + \left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\gamma} w \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 & y \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} = xy + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z} + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\beta}\left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\gamma} w\overline{z}$$

and I_7 has value

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Pf}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{x}{v_N^2} - y\frac{|\hat{v}|^2}{v_N^2}\frac{t+\tau}{\tau D} & -\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\beta}\overline{z} + \left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\delta}\overline{w} & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\alpha} z + \left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\gamma} w \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 & y - \frac{t|\hat{v}|^2}{\tau D}x \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \ \frac{1}{v_N^2}\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t+\tau}{\tau D}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t}{\tau D}\right) + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z} - \frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}w\overline{w} \\ &\qquad - \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\delta}\overline{w}z + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\beta}\left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\gamma} w\overline{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Integration over Hubbard Stratonovich variables. To compute the integrals over complex variables z, w notice that

$$\frac{dz d\overline{z}}{\pi} \int_C \frac{dw d\overline{w}}{\pi} e^{-z\overline{z}-w\overline{w}} z^k \overline{z}^l w^m \overline{w}^n$$

is zero for integers k, l, m, n unless $k = l$ and $m = n$. Note that I_7 contains terms in $\overline{w}z$ and $w\overline{z}$. So if we expand $(I_6)^{N-2}$ as

$$\left(xy + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z}\right)^{N-2} + (N-2)\left(xy + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z}\right)^{N-3}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\beta}\left(\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\right)^{\gamma} w\overline{z} + \dots$$

we may ignore the other terms as they give zero contribution upon integration with respect to w, z. Substituting the values of I_6 and I_7 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_5 = v_N^2 \int_C \frac{dzd\overline{z}}{\pi} \int_C \frac{dwd\overline{w}}{\pi} e^{-z\overline{z}-w\overline{w}} \\
&\left(\frac{1}{v_N^2}\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t+\tau}{\tau D}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t}{\tau D}\right) + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z} - \frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}w\overline{w}\ \right)\left(xy + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z}\right)^{N-2} \\
&-v_N^2(N-2)\frac{t^3|\hat{v}|^2}{4\tau D}\int_C \frac{dzd\overline{z}}{\pi}\int_C \frac{dwd\overline{w}}{\pi} e^{-z\overline{z}-w\overline{w}}\left(xy + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z}\right)^{N-3} w\overline{w}z\overline{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

These integrals can be computed using the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{R^2} dzd\overline{z}e^{-z\overline{z}}(\alpha + |z|^2)^N &= \int_0^\infty dre^{-r}(\alpha + r)^N \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N}\binom{N}{k}\alpha^k \int_0^\infty e^{-r}r^{N-k} = E_N(\alpha) \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

where $E_N(x)$ is the scaled and truncated exponential polynomial,

$$E_N(x) = N!\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{R^2} dzd\overline{z}|z|^2 e^{-z\overline{z}}(\alpha + |z|^2)^N &= E_{N+1}(\alpha) - \alpha E_N(\alpha) \\
&= (N+1)E_N(\alpha) - N\alpha E_{N-1}(\alpha). \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

So, integrating over $w, \overline{w}$ and pulling powers of t out,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 \ &= \ v_N^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-2}\int_C \frac{dzd\overline{z}}{\pi}e^{-z\overline{z}} \\
&\left(\frac{1}{v_N^2}\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t+\tau}{\tau D}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t}{\tau D}\right) + \frac{t}{2}z\overline{z} - \frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2}{2\tau D}\ \right)\left(\frac{2xy}{t} + z\overline{z}\right)^{N-2} \\
&-v_N^2(N-2)\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-3}\frac{t^3|\hat{v}|^2}{4\tau D}\int_C \frac{dzd\overline{z}}{\pi}e^{-z\overline{z}}\left(\frac{2xy}{t} + z\overline{z}\right)^{N-3} z\overline{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, integrating over $z, \overline{z}$, we find, using (31) and (32),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &= \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-2}\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t+\tau}{\tau D}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t}{\tau D}\right)E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) \\
&\quad -\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-2}\frac{t^2|\hat{v}|^2v_N^2}{2\tau D}E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) \\
&\quad +v_N^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-1}\left((N-1)E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) - (N-2)\frac{2xy}{t}E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right)\right) \\
&\quad -v_N^2(N-2)\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-3}\frac{t^3|\hat{v}|^2}{4\tau D}\left(E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) - \frac{2xy}{t}E_{N-3}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right)\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-2}\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t+\tau}{\tau D}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t}{\tau D}\right)E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{(N-1)v_N^2}{D}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-1}E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) \\
&\quad -\frac{(N-2)v_N^2}{D}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{N-1}\frac{2xy}{t}E_{N-3}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (29), and using $\tau D = \tau + t|\hat{v}|^2$, gives us the following exact representation for the modified density $\tilde{\rho}_N$ in the form of the integral over the $(N-1)$-dimensional sphere

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\rho}_N(t, y; t+\tau, x) \\
&\quad = \frac{2|S_{N-1}|}{t^2(2\pi)^N}\int_{S^+_{N-1}} dv\,\left(\tau t^{-1} + |\hat{v}|^2\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau + t|\hat{v}|^2}(x^2 - 2v_N^2xy + y^2(1+\tau t^{-1}))} \\
&\quad\quad \Bigg[\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t+\tau}{\tau + t|\hat{v}|^2}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2\frac{t}{\tau + t|\hat{v}|^2}\right)E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) \\
&\quad\quad +\frac{(N-1)t\tau v_N^2}{2(\tau + t|\hat{v}|^2)}E_{N-2}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right) - \frac{(N-2)t\tau v_N^2}{2(\tau + t|\hat{v}|^2)}\frac{2xy}{t}E_{N-3}\left(\frac{2xy}{t}\right)\Bigg]. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

The integrand depends only on v_N and $|\hat{v}|^2 = 1 - v_N^2$ and so the integral can be further reduced, as we do in the following section, to a single integral over $v_N \in [0, 1]$. Moreover we will obtain very much simpler expressions for both $\tilde{\rho}_N$ and the spin-spin correlation function valid in the limit $N \to \infty$.

4 Analysis of the large N limit of the correlation function.

A direct evaluation of (33) leads to the following conclusion:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\tilde{\rho}_N(x, t+\tau; y, t) = 0,$$

for any $\tau > 0$. To study short-scale correlations, we consider the scaling limit $\tau = \frac{T}{N}$ and $N \to \infty$. We will calculate

$$\tilde{\rho}(x, T; y, t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}_N(x, t + (T/N); y, t).$$

It is possible to calculate $\tilde{\rho}(x, T; y, t)$ directly. The calculation is somewhat lengthy, but the answer turns out to be, as expected, translational invariant. Assuming this translational invariance, it is possible to calculate $\tilde{\rho}(x, T; y, t)$ as $\tilde{\rho}(0, T; y - x, t)$, which is a similar but less messy task, and this is the calculation which we present here.

Firstly, when $y = 0$ the expression (33) simplifies considerably. Furthermore, using $v_N^2 + |\hat{v}|^2 = 1$, the integrand is invariant under rotations in the equatorial plane and we may exploit the identity

$$\int_{S_{N-1}^+} dv\, g(v_N) = |S_{N-2}| \int_0^1 dz\, g(z)(1 - z^2)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}.$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_N(t, 0; t + (T/N), x) \quad &= \quad c_1(N) \int_0^1 dz\, (1 - z^2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{(T/N) + t(1-z^2)}} \\ &\quad \left(1 + \frac{T}{Nt(1 - z^2)}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2} - 1} \left(z^2 - \frac{2Nx^2(1 - z^2)}{(N - 1)T}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_1(N) = \frac{(N - 1)!}{(2\pi)^N} |S_{N-1}||S_{N-2}| \frac{T}{Nt^2}.$$

Using the volume formula $|S_{N-1}| = \frac{N\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}$, and asymptotic $\Gamma(z) \sim z^z z^{-1/2} e^{-z}$ for large z, it is straightforward to check that $c_1(N) \to T/(\pi t^2)$ as $N \to \infty$. Moreover one may justify the passage of the limit inside the dz integral, for example by dominated convergence using

$$\left(1 + \frac{T}{Nt(1 - z^2)}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \leq (1 - z^2)^2 \frac{8t^2}{T^2}.$$

This limit gives

$$\tilde{\rho}(t, 0; T, x) = \frac{T}{\pi t^2} \int_0^1 dz\, (1 - z^2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{t(1-z^2)}}\, e^{-\frac{T}{2t(1-z^2)}} \left(z^2 - \frac{2x^2(1 - z^2)}{T}\right).$$

The substitution $(1 - z^2) = (1 + w)^{-1}$ and $dz = \frac{1}{2} w^{-1/2}(1 + w)^{-3/2} dw$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{\rho}(t, 0; T, x) \\ &\quad = \quad \frac{T}{2\pi t^2} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \frac{T}{2t}\right)} \int_0^\infty dw\, e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \frac{T}{2t}\right) w} \left(w^{1/2} - 2\frac{x^2}{T} w^{-1/2}\right) \\ &\quad = \quad \frac{T}{2\sqrt{\pi} t^2} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \frac{T}{2t}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \frac{T}{2t}\right)^{-3/2} - \frac{2x^2}{T}\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \frac{T}{2t}\right)^{-1/2}\right) \qquad (34)\end{aligned}$$

which is the final answer for the scaling limit of the modified two-point density in the large N scaling limit. Note that the limit

$$\lim_{T\downarrow 0}\tilde{\rho}(t,0;T,x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{|x|}{t^{3/2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}}\delta_0$$

is consistent with the one-dimensional correlation function obtained in [2] (the presence of the delta function at zero is expected, see [21] for an explanation for a similar two-time density). Let

$$\rho(t,y;T,x) = \lim_{N\to\infty} E\left(s_y(M_t)s_x(M_{t+\frac{T}{N}})\right)$$

be the scaling limit of the unmodified two-time spin-spin correlation function. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(t,y;T,x+y) &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\rho_N(t,y;t+(T/N),x+y)\\
&= -\lim_{N\to\infty} 4\int_y^{\infty}dy'\int_{-\infty}^{x+y}dx'\,\tilde{\rho}_N(t,y';t+(T/N),x')\\
&= -4\int_y^{\infty}dy'\int_{-\infty}^{x+y}dx'\,\tilde{\rho}(t,y';T,x')\\
&= -4\int_y^{\infty}dy'\int_{-\infty}^{x+y}dx'\,\tilde{\rho}(t,0;T,x'-y')\\
&= 4\int_{-\infty}^{x}dz(z-x)\tilde{\rho}(t,0;T,z). \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Justifying the passage of the limit $N\to\infty$ inside the integral in (35) needs a little care, and we delay the argument until the end of this section. Substituting (34) into the above, we find that

$$\rho(t,y;T,x+y) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}t}\int_x^{\infty}dz(x-z)e^{-\alpha(z)}\left(\frac{1}{2}r\alpha^{-3/2}(z) - (\alpha(z)-r)\alpha^{-1/2}(z)\right),$$

where $r=\frac{T}{2t}$, $\alpha(z)=\frac{z^2}{t}+r$. Since $\tilde{\rho}(t,0;T,x)$ is even in x so too is $\rho(t,y;T,x+y)$. Taking $x>0$, the change of variables $w=\alpha(z)$, so that $x/t^{1/2}=(\alpha(x)-r)^{1/2}$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(t,y;T,x+y)\\
&= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\alpha(x)}^{\infty} dw\, e^{-w}\left(\frac{1}{2}rw^{-3/2}-(w-r)w^{-1/2}\right)\\
&\qquad +\frac{2x}{\sqrt{\pi t}}\int_{\alpha(x)}^{\infty} dw\, e^{-w}\left(\frac{1}{2}r(w-r)^{-1/2}w^{-3/2}-(w-r)^{1/2}w^{-1/2}\right)\\
&= -\frac{2r}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\alpha(x)}\alpha(x)^{-1/2}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\alpha(x)}^{\infty} dw\, e^{-w}w^{1/2}\\
&\qquad +\frac{2x}{\sqrt{\pi t}}\int_{\alpha(x)}^{\infty} dw\, \frac{d}{dw}\left(e^{-w}(w-r)^{1/2}w^{-1/2}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\alpha(x)}^{\infty} dw\, e^{-w}w^{-1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two equalities follow by integration by parts, and all the boundary terms cancel. This final integral is the same as the complementary error function giving

$$\rho(t,y;T,x+y)=\operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{t}+\frac{T}{2t}}\right). \tag{36}$$

For $T=0$, (36) reduces to a well-known answer for continuous limit of the single time spin-spin correlation function in the Glauber model [8]. As $T\to\infty$, the spin-spin correlation function decays exponentially, as expected.

We now return to complete the justification of (35). We need to pass to the limit to show

$$\int_y^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{x} dx' \tilde{\rho}_N(t,y';t+(T/N),x') \to \int_y^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{x} dx' \rho(t,y';T,x'). \tag{37}$$

By $y\to -y, x\to -x$ symmetry we may suppose $y\geq 0$. Using the fact that $\tilde{\rho}_N$ is a mixed derivative we know

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \tilde{\rho}_N(t,x;\tau,y)dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \tilde{\rho}_N(t,x;\tau,y)dy = 0. \tag{38}$$

The same property holds for the limit $\rho(t,x;T,y)$. When $y\geq 0$ and $x\geq 0$ it is convenient to use (38) to rewrite (37) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_y^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx' \tilde{\rho}_N(t,y';t+(T/N),x') + \int_{-\infty}^{y} dy' \int_0^{x} dx' \tilde{\rho}_N(t,y';t+(T/N),x')\\
&\to \int_y^{\infty} dy' \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx' \rho(t,y';T,x') + \int_{-\infty}^{y} dy' \int_0^{x} dx \rho(t,y';T,x').
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

This ensures that the region of integration has only a bounded region where $xy > 0$, namely $[0, x] \times [0, y]$.

We will replace $\tilde{\rho}_N$ by a further modification $\hat{\rho}_N$, where we replace each occurrence of the truncated exponentials $E_N(z)$ by the un-truncated exponential $N!e^z$. Thus we define (compare with (33))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\rho}_N(t, y; t+\tau, x) \\
&\quad = \frac{2|S_{N-1}|}{t^2(2\pi)^N} \int_{S^+_{N-1}} dv \left(\tau t^{-1} + |\hat{v}|^2\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau+t|\hat{v}|^2}(x^2-2v_N^2 xy+y^2(1+\tau t^{-1}))} e^{\frac{2xy}{t}} \\
&\qquad \left[\left(x - y|\hat{v}|^2 \frac{t+\tau}{\tau+t|\hat{v}|^2}\right)\left(y - x|\hat{v}|^2 \frac{t}{\tau+t|\hat{v}|^2}\right)(N-2)! \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{(N-1)!\, t\tau v_N^2}{2(\tau+t|\hat{v}|^2)} - \frac{(N-2)!\, t\tau v_N^2}{2(\tau+t|\hat{v}|^2)} \frac{2xy}{t}\right]. \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

This does not change the pointwise limit, and we still have

$$\tilde{\rho}(x, T; y, t) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \hat{\rho}_N(x, t+(T/N); y, t).$$

We need a uniform bound for $\hat{\rho}_N(t, y; t+(T/N), x)$. We write $C(t, T, \ldots)$ for a constant whose value may change but whose dependency is indicated. The key term is the exponential: when $xy \le 0$ we may bound

$$e^{-\frac{1}{TN^{-1}+t|\hat{v}|^2}\left(x^2-2v_N^2 xy+y^2(1+TN^{-1}t^{-1})\right)} e^{\frac{2xy}{t}} \le e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{T+t}};$$

and when $xy \ge 0$ we use

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\frac{1}{TN^{-1}+t|\hat{v}|^2}\left(x^2-2v_N^2 xy+y^2(1+TN^{-1}t^{-1})\right)} e^{\frac{2xy}{t}} &= e^{-\frac{1}{TN^{-1}+t|\hat{v}|^2}\left((x-y)^2+(y^2-2xy)TN^{-1}t^{-1}\right)} \\
&\le e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{T+t}} e^{\frac{2xy}{t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining terms in the integrand in $\hat{\rho}_N(t, y; t+(T/N), x)$ can be simply bounded by $C(N, t, T)(1 + x^2 + y^2)|\hat{v}|^{-2}$, and carrying out the integral over S^+_{N-1} yields the bound

$$\hat{\rho}_N(t, y; t+(T/N), x) \le C(t, T)(1 + x^2 + y^2) \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{T+t}} & \text{if } xy \le 0, \\ e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{T+t}} e^{\frac{2xy}{t}} & \text{if } xy \ge 0. \end{cases} \qquad (41)$$

When $y \ge 0$ and $x \le 0$ the limit

$$\int_y^\infty dy' \int_{-\infty}^x dx' \hat{\rho}_N(t, y'; t+(T/N), x') \to \int_y^\infty dy' \int_{-\infty}^x dx' \rho(t, y'; T, x')$$

follows from (41) by dominated convergence. When $y \ge 0$ and $x \ge 0$ we switch to the form (39) and obtain, also by dominated convergence using (41),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_y^\infty dy' \int_{-\infty}^0 dx' \hat{\rho}_N(t, y'; t+(T/N), x') + \int_{-\infty}^y dy' \int_0^x dx' \hat{\rho}_N(t, y'; t+(T/N), x') \\
&\to \int_y^\infty dy' \int_{-\infty}^0 dx' \rho(t, y'; T, x') + \int_{-\infty}^y dy' \int_0^x dx \rho(t, y'; T, x').
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show the error from approximating $\tilde{\rho}_N$ by $\hat{\rho}_N$ is negligible. However this is a simpler task, using the fact that $E_N(z)/N!$ is close to e^z on a ball of radius $N^{1/2}$, and using the simple bound $|E_N(z)| \leq N! e^{|z|}$ outside this ball. We omit the details.

5 Fixed t multi-point intensity functions.

As another application of the formalism of spin variables we will show how to re-derive the result of Borodin and Sinclair [2] for all the one-dimensional densities of real eigenvalues of the real Ginibre ensemble in the limit $N \to \infty$. Another reason for going through this calculation is to prepare ground for the future study of multi-time correlation functions. Let us fix time $t = 1$ and a set of multiple space points $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_K$. The answer for an arbitrary time t can be obtained from the $t = 1$ answer by the diffusive re-scaling $x \to \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}$. As we have done before, we will first compute a modified density $\tilde{\rho}_N = \tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)\, dx_1 \ldots dx_K = \mathbb{E}_N \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K} s_{x_k}(M_1) \Lambda^{M_1}(dx_k) \right)$$

where where the subscript N on the $\mathbb{E}_N$ means that the averaging occurs over the $N \times N$ Ginibre distribution. As before, we choose right hand limits for the density, that is where the intervals dx_k denote infinitesimal intervals just to the right of the point x_k.

The answers are zero for odd K, so we take **even K throughout**. Moreover it is convenient to consider only **even N throughout** (which avoids us tracking various ± signs).

Equivalence with a correlation function of characteristic polynomials. The integral over the Gaussian density

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)\, dx_1 \ldots dx_K = \int_{R^{N^2}} dM \gamma_1(M) \prod_{k=1}^{K} s_{x_k}(M) \Lambda^M(dx_k)$$

can be treated using the Edelman transform for the eigenvalue lying in dx_K as in Section 3, and after integrating over the half sphere S^+_{N-1}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)\, dx_1 \ldots dx_{K-1} \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2} |S_{N-1}| \pi^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-x_K^2} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left(\det(M_1 - x_K I) \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} s_{x_k}(M_1) \Lambda^{M_1}(dx_k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Another Edelman transform about the eigenvalue lying in dx_{K-1} yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)\, dx_1 \ldots dx_{K-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}|S_{N-1}||S_{N-2}|\pi^{-\frac{N-1}{2}-\frac{N-2}{2}} e^{-x_K^2 - x_{K-1}^2}(x_{K-1} - x_K) \\
&\qquad \mathbb{E}_{N-2}\left(\det(M_1 - x_K I)\det(M_1 - x_{K-1} I)\prod_{k=1}^{K-2} s_{x_k}(M_1)\Lambda^{M_1}(dx_k)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

A further $(K-2)$ applications of Edelman transform will lead to the following expression for the modified density:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K) \\
&= \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{x})}{2^K}\prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(|S_{N-k}|\pi^{-\frac{N-k}{2}}e^{-x_k^2}\right)\mathbb{E}_{N-K}\left(\prod_{m=1}^{K}\det(M_1 - x_m I)\right) \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le K}(x_j - x_i)$ is the Van-der-Monde determinant. Therefore, the problem of computing the modified density has been reduced to the computation of the expectation of the product of characteristic polynomials of the random matrix M_1.

Integral representation for product of characteristic polynomials. Such a computation has been carried out in [20] by exploiting Berezin integrals. As it is rather close to the calculation already detailed in Section 3 we will just present the final answer.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_N\left(\prod_{m=1}^{K}\det(M_1 - x_m I)\right) \\
&= \prod_{1 \le p < q \le K}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R^2}} \frac{dz_{pq}d\overline{z}_{pq}}{\pi} e^{-|z_{pq}|^2}\right] Pf\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z & X \\ -X & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix}^N. \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

Here each $dz_{pq}d\overline{z}_{pq}$ is shorthand for Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^2$ and arises from repeated use of the Hubbard Stratonovich transform; the matrix X is a diagonal $K \times K$ matrix with entries $(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_K)$; and Z is a skew symmetric complex $K \times K$ matrix:

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} z_{ij} & i > j, \\ 0 & i = j, \\ -z_{ij} & i < j. \end{cases}$$

The right hand side of expression (43) can be neatly written as a matrix integral:

$$\pi^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} \int_{Q^{(K)}} \lambda(dZ, dZ^\dagger) e^{-\frac{1}{2}TrZZ^\dagger} Pf\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z & X \\ -X & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix}^N, \qquad (44)$$

where $Q^{(K)} = \{Z \in \mathbf{C}^{K\times K} \mid Z^T = -Z^T\}$ is the space of skew-symmetric complex matrices, $\lambda(Z, Z^\dagger)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $Q^{(K)}$ as described above. Note that the dimension of the integral in the right hand side of (44) is N-independent. The size of the original matrix only enters the integral as the power of the Pfaffian in the integrand. This allows one to calculate the large N-limit of (44) using the Laplace method. To facilitate the application of asymptotic methods, we re-scale the integration variables using $(Z, Z^\dagger) \to \sqrt{N}(Z, Z^\dagger)$, which gives

$$\mathbb{E}_N\left(\prod_{m=1}^{K}\det\left(M_1 - x_m\right)\right) = \pi^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} 2^{-\frac{NK}{2}} N^{\frac{NK}{2}} N^{\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} J_N \tag{45}$$

where

$$J_N = \int_{Q^{(K)}} \lambda(dZ, dZ^\dagger) e^{-\frac{N}{2} TrZZ^\dagger} Pf\begin{pmatrix} Z & \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}X \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}X & Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix}^N.$$

The integrand in J_N is now of the form $\exp(NF_N(Z))$, where F_N is a slow function of N.

Applying the Laplace method. We will show that the integral J_N localizes onto the subset

$$C^{(K)} = \{Z \in Q^{(K)} \mid ZZ^\dagger = I\}. \tag{46}$$

To do this it is convenient to split J into two parts,

$$J_{N,0} = \int_{Q^{(K)} \cap S} \qquad J_{N,1} = \int_{Q^{(K)} \setminus S}$$

where

$$S = \{Z \mid \mu_k(Z) \in [1/2, 2] \text{ for } k = 1, \ldots, K\}$$

and $(\mu_k(Z) : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$ are the singular values of Z. Note that S is compact and contains only non-singular matrices. We first bound the integral $J_{N,1}$, aiming to show that it is of smaller order that $J_{N,0}$. For $c \geq 0$ write $(\lambda_k(c) : 1 \leq k \leq 2K)$ for the singular values of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z & cX \\ -cX & Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

One may bound the difference of two sets of singular values $(\mu_k(A))$ and $(\mu_k(B))$ via $|\mu_k(A) - \mu_k(B)| \leq \|A - B\|$. Thus

$$|\lambda_k(c) - \lambda_k(0)| \leq \|cX\| = cx_*$$

where $x_* = \max_k |x_k|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|Pf\begin{pmatrix} Z & cX \\ -cX & Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix}\right| &= \left|\det\begin{pmatrix} Z & cX \\ -cX & Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix}\right|^{1/2} \\
&= \left|\prod_{k=1}^{2K}\lambda_k(c)\right|^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left|\prod_{k=1}^{2K}(\lambda_k(0)+cx_*)\right|^{1/2} \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{K}(\mu_k(Z)+cx_*).
\end{aligned}$$

Using this in $J_{N,1}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{N,1} &\leq \int_{Q^{(K)}\setminus S}\lambda(dZ,dZ^\dagger)e^{-\frac{N}{2}TrZZ^\dagger}\left|\prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(\mu_k(Z)+(2/N)^{1/2}x_*\right)\right|^N \\
&= \int_{Q^{(K)}\setminus S}\lambda(dZ,dZ^\dagger)e^{-TrZZ^\dagger}e^{-N\sum_{k=1}^{K}H_N(\mu_k(Z))}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_N(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{N}\right)z^2-\ln\left(z+(2/N)^{1/2}x_*\right).$$

Note that $H_N(z)\to H(z)=\frac{1}{2}z^2-\ln(z)$ and that $H(z)$ has a minimal value of $H(1)=\frac{1}{2}$. On $Q^{(K)}\setminus S$ there must exist at least one singular value μ lying outside $[\frac{1}{2},2]$, and for this value $H(\mu)\geq\frac{1}{2}+2\delta$ for an easily calculated $\delta>0$. Thus for large N, when $Z\in Q^{(K)}\setminus S$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K}H_N(\mu_k(Z))\geq\frac{K}{2}+\delta$$

and the value of $J_{N,1}$ is bounded by $C(K)e^{-NK/2}e^{-N\delta}$. This is is exponentially smaller than that of $J_{N,0}$, which we will see is, to leading exponential order, $O(e^{-NK/2})$.

Next, we will calculate the asymptotic expansion of $J_{N,0}$ for large N. The Nth power of the Pfaffian in the integrand of $J_{N,0}$ can be simplified using the Taylor expansion for the Pfaffian:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Pf\left(A+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}B\right)}{Pf\left(A\right)} &= 1+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}}TrBA^{-1} \qquad (47)\\
&+ \frac{1}{8N}\left(TrBA^{-1}TrBA^{-1}-2TrBA^{-1}BA^{-1}\right)+O\left(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

While we cannot pinpoint the exact reference for the original derivation of the above expansion, it can be easily derived using the Berezin integral representation of the Pfaffian. It is interesting to note that unlike the analogous determinant expansion formula, the series (47) contains finitely many terms. Using (47), and the fact that the terms with $Tr(BA^{-1})$ are zero in our case, we can re-write the Nth power of the Pfaffian in the integrand of $J_{N,0}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Pf^N \begin{pmatrix} Z & \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}X \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}X & Z^\dagger \end{pmatrix} &= \det(ZZ^\dagger)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right) + O(N^{-2})\right)^N \\ &= \det(ZZ^\dagger)^{\frac{N}{2}} e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)} \left(1 + O(N^{-1})\right) \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to express $J_{N,0}$ in a form well suited for the application of Laplace formula:

$$J_{N,0} = \int_{Q^{(K)}\cap S} \Lambda(dZ, dZ^\dagger) e^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(TrZZ^\dagger - \ln\det\left(ZZ^\dagger\right)\right)} e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)} (1 + O(N^{-1})). \quad (48)$$

The fact that S does not contain degenerate matrices, and the compactness of S allows one to pass the correction term $O(N^{-1})$ through the integral. In the limit $N \to \infty$, the main contribution to (48) comes from the neighborhood of the points of global minimum of the function

$$F(Z) = TrZZ^\dagger - \ln\det\left(ZZ^\dagger\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\mu_k^2(Z) - 2\ln(\mu_k(Z))\right).$$

The global minimum value of F is K and it is attained on the set $C^{(K)}$ in (46), namely the skew-symmetric unitary $K \times K$ matrices, which is a smooth sub-manifold of $Q^{(K)}$. We will show that $C^{(K)}$ is a non-degenerate critical set, which means that the Hessian of F has the maximal possible rank at every point of $C^{(K)}$. Therefore we can use Laplace theorem [7] to calculate the asymptotic expansion of $J_{N,0}$: let (w, y) be local co-ordinates on $Q^{(K)}$ such that the sub-manifold $C^{(K)}$ is locally determined by the set of equations $y = 0$; then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q^{(K)}\cap S} \Lambda(dZ, dZ^\dagger) e^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(TrZZ^\dagger - \ln\det\left(ZZ^\dagger\right)\right)} e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)} \\ &= e^{-NF|_{C^{(K)}}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}}\right)^{\dim(Q^{(K)}) - \dim(C^{(K)})} \int_{C^{(K)}} \mu(dw) e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)}. \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mu(dw)$ is the measure on $C^{(K)}$ generated by the embedding $C^{(K)} \subset Q^{(K)}$ and integration over transverse co-ordinates y. Explicitly,

$$d\mu(w) = \frac{\rho(w, y = 0)}{\sqrt{\det Hess(F)\mid_C^{(K)}(w)}} \prod_{k=1}^{dim(C^{(K)})} dw_k, \quad (50)$$

where $\rho(w,y)$ is the density of Lebesgue measure $\Lambda(dZ, dZ^\dagger)$ with respect to local co-ordinates (w,y), the Hessian is defined as the matrix of second derivatives with respect to transverse co-ordinates y. In writing (49) we used the fact that the critical manifold lies a positive distance away from the boundary of $Q^{(K)} \cap S$. Noting that F takes the value K on $C^{(K)}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(Q^{(K)}) - \dim(C^{(K)}) &= K(K-1) - (\dim(U(K)) - \dim(Sp(K))) \\
&= K(K-1) - K^2 + \frac{1}{2}K(K+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}K(K-1),
\end{aligned}$$

we reach

$$J_{N,0} = e^{-\frac{NK}{2}}(2\pi N)^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{4}} \int_{C^{(K)}} \mu(dw) e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)}(1+O(N^{-1})). \tag{51}$$

Collecting together (42), (45) and (51) we find

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K) = c_2(N,K)\Delta(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} e^{-x_k^2} \int_{C^{(K)}} \mu(dw) e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)} \left(1+o(1)\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2(N,K) &= C(K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(|S_{N-k}| \pi^{-\frac{N-k}{2}}\right) \pi^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} 2^{-\frac{(N-K)K}{2}} \\
&\qquad (N-K)^{\frac{(N-K)K}{2}} (N-K)^{\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{(N-K)K}{2}} (2\pi(N-K))^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{4}}
\end{aligned}$$

and $C(K)$ denotes a constant only depending on K. It is lengthy but straightforward to check that $c_2(N,K) \to c_3(K) > 0$ as $N \to \infty$ and hence that limiting modified density $\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \tilde{\rho}_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)$ exists and is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K) = c_3(K)\Delta(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} e^{-x_k^2} \int_{C^{(K)}} \mu(dw) e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger XZX\right)}. \tag{52}$$

The explicit value of $c_3(K)$ will be determined later by using properties of the densities $\tilde{\rho}$. In the next subsection we will find a parameterisation of the integral in the right hand side of (52), which will allow us to calculate it very efficiently using the standard tools of random matrix theory.

Recasting as an integral over the unitary group. An important property of the function F is its invariance with respect to the following action of the unitary group $U(K)$ on $Q^{(K)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
U(K) \times Q^{(K)} &\to U(K) \\
(U, Z) &\mapsto UZU^T \in U(K).
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Namely, for any $A \in U(K)$, we have $F(AZA^T) = F(Z)$. The decomposition theorem for skew symmetric unitary matrices [14] states that

$$Z = UJU^T, \tag{54}$$

where U is a unitary matrix, J is the canonical symplectic matrix. Notice that (54) does not determine the unitary matrix U uniquely: indeed $Z \to Z$ if $U \to US$, where S is a unitary matrix satisfying $SJS^T = J$. The set of such matrices is a subgroup of $U(K)$ called the symplectic group $Sp(K)$, that is

$$Sp(K) = \{S \in U(K) \mid SJS^T = J\}.$$

It can be checked that the critical manifold $C^{(K)}$ can be identified with the factor space of $U(K)$ with respect to the action of $Sp(K)$ on $U(K)$ via right multiplication, that is

$$C^{(K)} \cong U(K)/Sp(K) \tag{55}$$

The $U(K)$-action (53) on $Q^{(K)}$ preserves the critical manifold and induces the $U(K)$-action on C. Using the parameterisation (54) of $C^{(K)}$ this induced action can be written explicitly:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} U(K) \times C^{(K)} & \to & C^{(K)}, \\ (A, [U]) & \mapsto & [AU], \end{array} \tag{56}$$

where $[U]$ is an equivalence class of $U \in U(K)$ with respect to right multiplications by elements of $Sp(K) \subset U(K)$. In the vicinity of a critical point $Z_c \in C^{(K)}$,

$$F(Z_c + \delta Z) = K + \frac{1}{2} Tr(\delta Z Z_c^\dagger + Z_c \delta Z^\dagger)^2 + \dots \tag{57}$$

We notice that the quadratic form describing the second order term in the above Taylor expansion of F is $U(K)$-invariant and has the maximal possible rank equal to $\frac{1}{2}K(K-1) = \dim(Q^{(K)}) - \dim(C^{(K)})$. We rewrite the integral from (52), using the mapping (55), as

$$\int_{C^{(K)}} \mu(dw) e^{Tr\left(Z^\dagger X Z X\right)} = \int_{U(K)/Sp(K)} \hat{\mu}(dU) e^{Tr\left(Z(U)^\dagger X Z(U) X\right)}) \tag{58}$$

where $Z(U)$ is given by (54) and $\hat{\mu}(dU)$ is the pull back of the measure μ on the critical manifold. We can work out an explicit expression for μ in local coordinates on $C^{(K)}$ using the general formula (50). We will not do this; instead we will characterise $\hat{\mu}$ up to a multiplicative constant by establishing its symmetry with respect to the $U(N)$-action on $C^{(K)}$. Recall that the measure μ is determined by the Lebesgue measure on $Q^{(K)}$ and the determinant of the quadratic form in the right hand side of (57). It is easy to check (i.) The Lebesgue measure Λ and the quadratic form $Tr(\delta Z Z^\dagger + Z\delta Z^\dagger)^2$ on $Q^{(K)}$ are invariant with respect to the $U(K)$-action (53).

(ii.) The critical manifold $C^{(K)}$ is invariant with respect to the $U(K)$-action.

(iii.) The restriction of the quadratic form $Tr(\delta Z Z^\dagger + Z\delta Z^\dagger)^2$ on $Q^{(K)}$ to $C^{(K)}$ has maximal rank.

A calculation employing elementary tools of differential geometry [5] shows that the above three observations imply the invariance of the measure $\hat{\mu}$ with respect to the induced action of $U(K)$ on the critical manifold $U(K)/Sp(K)$ defined by (56). Therefore $\hat{\mu}$ is a Haar measure on the symmetric space $U(K)/Sp(K)$, which is unique up to normalization. It is generally easier to work with integrals over the whole unitary group rather than a factor space. As we have established already, the measure $\hat{\mu}$ is invariant with respect to the action of $U(K)$ on $C^{(K)}$. Note that the function $Tr\left(Z(U)^\dagger X Z(U) X\right)$ which determines the integrand of (58) is also $U(K)$-invariant. Therefore, by Weyl's theorem [10] Chapter X,

$$\int_{U(K)/Sp(K)} \hat{\mu}(dU) e^{Tr\left(Z(U)^\dagger X Z(U) X\right)} = \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{Tr\left(Z(U)^\dagger X Z(U) X\right)} \tag{59}$$

where μ_H is an appropriately normalized Haar measure on the unitary group. We will determine the normalization factor later using the properties of spin-spin correlation functions. Substituting (54) into (59) we find that

$$\int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{Tr\left(Z(U)^\dagger X Z(U) X\right)} = \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{-Tr\left(JHJH^T\right)} \tag{60}$$

where H is a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K$ given by $H = UXU^\dagger$. Tracing back we find that the large N behaviour of

$$\mathbb{E} \prod_{m=1}^{K} \det\left(M_1 - x_m\right)$$

- the expected value of the product of K characteristic polynomials in the real Ginibre ensemble - turns out to be determined by the integration of the symplectic-invariant Gaussian weight $\exp(-Tr JHJH^T)$ with respect to unitary degrees of freedom. See [18] for a discussion of the origin of the connection between real Ginibre and symplectic ensembles.

We may rewrite the integral in (60) as

$$\int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{Tr\left(HH^R\right)} \tag{61}$$

where $H = UXU^\dagger$ and

$$H^R = JH^T J^T$$

is a 'symplectic' involution on the space of complex $K \times K$ matrices, see [15] for details. Following Mehta, we will call matrix M self-dual if $M = M^R$ and anti-self-dual if $M = -M^R$. It is easy to check that any even-dimensional matrix can be uniquely represented as a sum of a self-dual and anti-self-dual matrices. Let $ASD^{(K)}$ be the linear space of all anti-self dual $K \times K$ matrices. In order to perform the integration over the unitary group in the right hand side of (61), we use use the following transformation found in [17], [16]:

$$e^{TrHH^R} = Z_K e^{TrH^2} \int_{ASD^{(K)}} \Lambda(dA) e^{TrA^2 + 2\sqrt{2}TrHA}, \tag{62}$$

where $\Lambda(dA)$ is a Lebesgue measure on $ASD^{(K)}$, Z_K is a normalization constant. The absolute convergence of the above integral can be checked using the decomposition theorem for anti-self-dual matrices, see [14]: for any $A \in ASD^{(K)}$ there exists $V \in U(K)$ and a diagonal matrix Θ with entries $\pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2, \ldots \pm \theta_{\frac{K}{2}}$, where $\theta_1 \geq 0, \theta_2 \geq 0, \ldots \theta_{K/2} \geq 0$, so that

$$A = iV\Theta V^\dagger.$$

Substituting (62) into (61) and using the invariance property of the Haar measure μ_H we get:

$$\int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{Tr\left(HH^R\right)} = C_K e^{\sum x_k^2} \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{K/2}} \nu(d\theta) e^{-Tr\left(\Theta^2\right)} e^{i2\sqrt{2}TrUXU^\dagger\Theta}$$

where $\nu(d\theta)$ is the measure on the eigenvalues of unitary matrices induced by the marginalization of the Lebesgue measure on $ASD^{(K)}$ over the unitary degrees of freedom, explicitly [15]

$$\nu(d\theta) = \Delta\left(\pm\theta_1, \pm\theta_2, \ldots \pm \theta_{\frac{K}{2}}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \theta_k \, d\theta_k.$$

We now allow the constants C_K, depending only on K, to change value from line to line. We can integrate over the unitary group $U(K)$ using Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula [9], [13]. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{Tr\left(HH^R\right)} \\ = \ & C_K e^{\sum x_k^2} \Delta(x)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{K/2}} \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \theta_k e^{-\theta_k^2} \, d\theta_k \; det\left[e^{i2\sqrt{2}x_j\Theta_{ll}} : 1 \leq j, l \leq K\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining integration over the singular values $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{K/2}$ is carried out using the de Bruijn formula [4], yielding

$$\int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{Tr\left(HH^R\right)} = C_K e^{\sum x_k^2} \Delta(x)^{-1} Pf\left[(x_i - x_j) e^{-2(x_i - x_j)^2}\right]_{1 \leq i,j \leq K}.$$

Combined with (52) this yields

$$\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K) = C_k Pf \left[(x_i - x_j) e^{-2(x_i - x_j)^2} \right]_{1 \le i,j \le K}. \tag{63}$$

The correlation functions of spins can be computed by integrating $\tilde{\rho}_N$ with respect to space variables:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{K} s_{x_k}(M_1) \right) = (-2)^K \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K} \int_{-\infty}^{x_k} dy_k \right) \tilde{\rho}_N(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k). \tag{64}$$

This leads to the spin-spin correlation

$$\mathbb{E} \prod_{k=1}^{K} s_{k_k}(M_1) = C_k Pf \left[\int_{x_i - x_j} e^{-2z^2} dz \right]_{1 \le i,j \le K}. \tag{65}$$

The constants C_k can be found inductively in k by allowing $x_{2k} \downarrow x_{2k-1}$, and noting that $\rho(x_1, x_1) = 1$. This yields $C_K = (8/\pi)^{K/4}$. Expression (65) coincides with the single time spin-spin correlation for a system of one dimensional annihilating Brownian motions under the maximal entrance law, see [22] for details. As shown in [22], substituting (65) into formula (2) to obtain we get the first statement of Corollary 9 of [2].

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Freddy Bouchet, Neil O'Connell, Krzysztof Gawedzki, Alice Guionnet, John Rawnsley and Stefano Ruffo for helpful discussions.

References

[1] G. Akemann, MJ Phillips, and HJ Sommers. Characteristic polynomials in real Ginibre ensembles. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(1):012001, 2008.

[2] A. Borodin and C.D. Sinclair. The Ginibre ensemble of real random matrices and its scaling limits. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 291(1):177–224, 2009.

[3] F. Bouchet. Stochastic process of equilibrium fluctuations of a system with long-range interactions. *Physical Review E*, 70(3):036113, 2004.

[4] NG De Bruijn. On some multiple integrals involving determinants. *J. Indian Math. Soc*, 19:133–151, 1955.

[5] B.A. Dubrovin, A.T. Fomenko, S.P. Novikov, and R.G. Burns. *Modern Geometry - Methods and Applications: Part I: The Geometry of Surfaces, Transformation Groups, and Fields.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1991.

[6] A. Edelman, E. Kostlan, M. Shub, et al. How many eigenvalues of a random matrix are real? *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 7(1):247, 1994.

[7] A. Erdélyi. *Asymptotic expansions.* Number 3. Dover publications, 2010.

[8] R.J. Glauber. Time-dependent statistics of the Ising model. *Journal of mathematical physics*, 4:294, 1963.

[9] Harish-Chandra. Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra. *American Journal of Mathematics*, pages 87–120, 1957.

[10] S. Helgason. *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces.* Amer Mathematical Society, 1962.

[11] A.S. Householder. Unitary triangularization of a nonsymmetric matrix. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 5(4):339–342, 1958.

[12] C. Itzykson and J.M. Drouffe. *Statistical Field Theory: Volume 1, From Brownian Motion to Renormalization and Lattice Gauge Theory.* Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 1991.

[13] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber. The planar approximation. II. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 21:411, 1980.

[14] M.L. Mehta. *Matrix Theory: Selected topics and useful results.* Editions de Physique, 1989.

[15] M.L. Mehta. *Random Matrices.* Number v. 142 in Pure and Applied Mathematics - Academic Press. San Diego, 2004.

[16] ML Mehta and A. Pandey. On some Gaussian ensembles of Hermitian matrices. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 16(12):2655, 1999.

[17] A. Pandey and M.L. Mehta. Gaussian ensembles of random Hermitian matrices intermediate between orthogonal and unitary ones. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 87:449–468, 1983.

[18] H.J. Sommers. Symplectic structure of the real Ginibre ensemble. *Journal of physics. A, Mathematical and theoretical*, 40(29), 2007.

[19] H.J. Sommers and B.A. Khoruzhenko. Schur function averages for the real Ginibre ensemble. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(22):222002, 2009.

[20] H.J. Sommers and W. Wieczorek. General eigenvalue correlations for the real Ginibre ensemble. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 41(40):405003, 2008.

[21] Roger Tribe, Jonathan Yip, and Oleg Zaboronski. One dimensional annihilating and coalescing particle systems as extended Pfaffian point processes. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 17:no. 40, 1–7, 2012.

[22] Roger Tribe and Oleg Zaboronski. Pfaffian formulae for one dimensional coalescing and annihilating systems. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16:no. 76, 2080–2103, 2011.